THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 17746 of 2005

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

Between:

Yelisetti Seshveni, W/o.Srirama rao,
R/o.Yelisettivaripalem, H/o.Billakurru, Kothapeta Mandal, E.G.district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Kothapeta Cooperative Rural bank Ltd., No.B.1125, Kothapeta,

E.Gdistrict, rep by its Secretary.

2 The Sale Officer-cum-Senior Inspector, Working under the SCOR/OSD,

The Dist Coop Central Bank Ltd, Kakinada , E.G.district.

3 The District Cooperative Central Bank Ltd, Kakinada, E.G.district, Rep

by its Chairman.

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

Oral order:-

This writ petition is misconceived.

According to the petitioner, her husband and their son, obtained a loan from the District Co-operative Central Bank, Kakinada, for purchasing a tractor. According to the petitioner, the tractor was purchased in the name of her son – Y. Seetharama Swamy, while the loan was obtained in the year 1993. According to the petitioner, her husband "told" that he had discharged the loan. Her husband died on 07-08-1999. A sale notice was issued by the 2nd respondent on 28-10-1999 intimating that an amount of Rs.99,007-00 was due on the loan and calling upon the petitioner to appear on 06-11-1999. It appears, thereafter several persons styling themselves as Sale Officers were telephoning the petitioner and threatening that they will book criminal cases under Section 354 IPC, in case the amount is not paid. In the circumstance the writ petition is filed for a writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents not to 'threaten the petitioner with dire consequences in case the loan amount is not paid under loan account No. 148 of the 1st respondent relating to my husband late Srirama Rao'.

The apprehension of the petitioner is based on no concrete material nor has any offence been registered. The relief sought cannot be granted.

The writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Dt. 11-08-2005

Pvks/*